



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,562	02/26/2004	Burton H. Sage JR.	080219-0106	1798
22428	7590	01/10/2008		
FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			EXAMINER SCHELL, LAURA C	
			ART UNIT 3767	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 01/10/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

CT

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/786,562

Applicant(s)

SAGE, BURTON H.

Examiner

Laura C. Schell

Art Unit

3767

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 February 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-52 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-52 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/4/06-3/5/07</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-25, 31-48, 51 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yin et al. (US Patent No. 6,386,050). Yin discloses a system and method for monitoring and measuring fluid flow through a passageway (Figs. 1-9) comprising: a heater (Fig. 4, heater is 42 for example) that heats a portion of the fluid in the passageway; a light source (24) that generates a beam of light that illuminates the fluid in the passageway; and a light detector (56) positioned to receive a portion of the beam, wherein the detector measures a change in the intensity of the beam caused by diffraction of the beam when the heated portion of the fluid passes through the beam (abstract). Yin further discloses that the light detector is positioned along the axis such that the light detector measures a decreased intensity with the passage of the heated portion of the fluid, as well as the light detector being displaced from the axis such that the light detector measures an increased intensity with the passage of the heated portion of the fluid (Figs. 1, 4 and 8). Yin also discloses using liquid as the fluid and

using an infrared laser (abstract). Yin further discloses that the distance is fixed for the purpose of calculations (col. 7, lines 61-63) and that the time period is measured for calculation purposes (col. 3, lines 33-36) and the velocity is calculated (col. 1, lines 35-38). Also see col. 2, line 50 through col. 5, line 21; col. 7, lines 9-63; col. 7, line 64 through col. 8, line 37; col. 6, lines 15-16. In col. 6, lines 51-57.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 26-30, 49 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frank et al. (US Patent No. 5,211,626) in view of Yin et al. (US Patent No. 6,386,050). Frank discloses the device substantially as claimed including a device for a delivering a liquid medicament to a subject (Figs. 1-5) comprising: a system for monitoring fluid flow (14) through a passageway (through passageway 50) and a

valve for starting and stopping liquid flow in the flow tube in a periodic manner based on information from the system (20). Frank further discloses that the fluid is heated at a certain position and then infrared sensors are used to detect the heat pulse and calculate the flow rate (abstract and fig. 1). Frank, however, does not disclose the details of monitoring system such as a light source, light detector and the positioning of the heater, light source and light detector relative to each other. Yin, however, discloses Yin discloses a system and method for monitoring and measuring fluid flow through a passageway (Figs. 1-9) comprising: a heater (Fig. 4, heater is 42 for example) that heats a portion of the fluid in the passageway; a light source (24) that generates a beam of light that illuminates the fluid in the passageway; and a light detector (56) positioned to receive a portion of the beam, wherein the detector measures a change in the intensity of the beam caused by diffraction of the beam when the heated portion of the fluid passes through the beam (abstract). Yin further discloses that the light detector is positioned along the axis such that the light detector measures a decreased intensity with the passage of the heated portion of the fluid, as well as the light detector being displaced from the axis such that the light detector measures an increased intensity with the passage of the heated portion of the fluid (Figs. 1, 4 and 8). Yin also discloses using liquid as the fluid and using an infrared laser (abstract). Yin further discloses that the distance is fixed for the purpose of calculations (col. 7, lines 61-63) and that the time period is measured for calculation purposes (col. 3, lines 33-36) and the velocity is calculated (col. 1, lines 35-38). Also see col. 2, line 50 through col. 5, line 21; col. 7, lines 9-63; col. 7, line 64 through col. 8, line 37; col. 6, lines 15-16. In col. 6,

lines 51-57. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Frank with the detailed monitoring system as taught by Yin, in order to provide a more accurate system for measuring the fluid flow, as a medical device which delivers a medicament to a patient must be as accurate as possible in order to prevent over or under-dosing which are potentially life threatening conditions for a patient.

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura C. Schell whose telephone number is (571) 272-7881. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on (571) 272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:  
10/786,562  
Art Unit: 3767

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LCS

LCS

KEVIN C. SIRMONS  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Kevin C. Sirmons", written in a cursive style.